## State code 14: Queensland heritage

Table 14.2.2: Development on a state heritage place

| Performance outcomes | Acceptable outcomes | Response |
| --- | --- | --- |
| PO1 Development of a state heritage place:   1. does not have a detrimental impact on the cultural heritage significance of the state heritage place; or 2. where it is demonstrated that 1 is not reasonably achievable:    1. minimises and mitigates unavoidable detrimental impacts on its cultural heritage significance    2. provides opportunities for public appreciation of its cultural heritage significance    3. where adaptive reuse is proposed, is compatible with its ongoing conservation management. | No acceptable outcome is prescribed. | Complies with PO# / AO#  Use this column to indicate whether compliance is achieved with the relevant PO or AO (or if they do not apply), and explain why |
| **PO2** Where open space, or the relationship between built and open spaces at a state heritage place is identified as forming part of its cultural heritage significance, development:   1. maintains or enhances the significance of the setting, including significant views, circulation, access, spatial patterns and layout 2. maintains a lot size and layout which permits viable adaptive reuse or conservation of significant heritage buildings and open spaces. | No acceptable outcome is prescribed. |  |
| **PO3** Development on a state heritage place with identified archaeological potential avoids or appropriately manages detrimental impacts on artefacts. | No acceptable outcome is prescribed. |  |
| Development proposing to destroy or substantially reduce the cultural heritage significance of a state heritage place | | |
| **PO4** Development destroying or substantially reducing the cultural heritage significance of a state heritage place must:   1. demonstrate that there is no prudent and feasible alternative to carrying out the development due to:    1. an extraordinary economic cost to the state, all or part of a community, or an individual; or    2. an extraordinary environmental or social disadvantage; or    3. a risk to public health or safety; or    4. another extraordinary or unique circumstance 2. interpret and incorporate the place’s history and significance into any development of the site.   Statutory note: In accordance with the *Planning Act 2016*, the State Assessment and Referral Agency (SARA) will seek advice from the Queensland Heritage Council (via the Department of Environment and Science) on any application that will potentially destroy or substantially reduce the cultural heritage significance of a state heritage place. | No acceptable outcome is prescribed. |  |

Table 14.2.3: Material change of use on land adjoining a state heritage place

| Performance outcomes | Acceptable outcomes | Response |
| --- | --- | --- |
| PO5 Development on land adjoining a state heritage place:   1. is located, designed and scaled so that its form, bulk and proximity does not have a detrimental impact on the cultural heritage significance of the state heritage place; or 2. where it is demonstrated that 1 is not reasonably achievable, the development minimises and mitigates unavoidable detrimental impacts on cultural heritage significance. | No acceptable outcome is prescribed. | Complies with PO# / AO#  Use this column to indicate whether compliance is achieved with the relevant PO or AO (or if they do not apply), and explain why |